

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1378 of 2017

- =====
1. The Union Of India through Chairman, Railway, Rail Bhawan, Delhi.
 2. The General Manager, Eastern Railway, Calcutta.
 3. The Divisional Railway Manager, Malda Divi, Malda.
 4. The Chief Work Manager, Eastern Railway, Jamalpur.
 5. Factory Executive Officer, Eastern Railway, Jamalpur.
 6. The Assistant Welfare Officer, Eastern Railway, Jamalpur, Bihar.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Saryug Prasad Mandal, son of Late Mahavir Mandal, resident of Village Baraichak Patma, Post Office- Patam, Police Station- Ram Nagar, District Munger, Bihar.
2. Chanchal Jha, son of Late Pandit Tulsi Jha, resident of Village Chiraibad, Post Office- Ratanpur, Police Station- Bariyarpur, District Munger.
3. Pardeep Kumar Chaurasia, son of Late Ghuttar Mandal, resident of Village Baraichak Patma, Post Office- Patam, Police Station- Naya Ram Nagar, District Munger, Bihar.
4. Mahendra Kumar Chaurasia, son of Late Jethu Mandal, resident of Village Baraichak Patma, Post Office- Patam, Police Station- Naya Ram Nagar, District Munger, Bihar.
5. Radha Kant Jha, son of Late Mahavir Jha, resident of Village Chiraiyabad, Post Office- Ratanpur, Police Station- Bariyarpur, District Munger, Bihar.
6. Hemraj Bind, son of Late Nashib Bind, resident of Village Raghunath Singh Tola, Post Office- Patam, Police Station- Naya Ram Nagar, District Munger, Bihar.
7. Sachidanand Mali, son of Late Banarashi Mali, resident of Village Baraichak Patma, Post Office- Patam, Police Station- Naya Ram Nagar, District Munger, Bihar.
8. Sita Ram Tanti, son of Late Sinehi Tanti, resident of Village Chhoti Daulatpur, Post Office- Jamalpur, District Munger, Bihar.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. D.K. Sinha, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Anil Singh

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI

and

HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. NILU AGRAWAL

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI)

2 04-04-2017

Heard Senior counsel for the petitioners.



Keeping in mind the order dated 26th April, 2016 and the leave which has been granted to the petitioners, this Court failed to understand as to why the writ application has been filed at all.

Let the petitioners take an appropriate decision in the light of what the Tribunal has observed especially in paragraph-3 of the impugned order.

The writ petition is otherwise dismissed.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

BTiwary/-

(Nilu Agrawal, J)

U			
---	--	--	--

