

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

**Letters Patent Appeal No.1118 of 2014
IN
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 7984 of 2013**

=====

Manoj Kumar Manoranjan S/o Sukhdeo Das, R/o- Village- Nadhi, P.S.- Murli
Ganj, District- Madhepura

.... Appellant

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Secretary, Dept. of Petroleum and Natural Gas, Govt. of India, New Delhi
2. The Chairman cum Managing Director, Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited, Mumbai- 400020
3. The Senior Regional Manager (Retail), Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited, Barauni Depot, NH 31, Begusarai, Bihar
4. The Chairman Cum Managing Director (Retail), Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited, 17, Jamshedji Tata Road, Mumbai- 400020
5. General Manager (Retail), 17, Jamshedji Tata Road, Mumbai- 400020
6. Senior Manager (RE & MIS) North Central Zone, HPCL Office, Lucknow (U.P.)
7. Deputy General Manager, North Central Zone, HPCL Office, Lukhnow (U.P.) null null
8. Director Marketing, North Central Zone, HPCL Office, Lukhnow (U.P.)
9. Ashish Kumar S/o Satyendra Prasad Yadav, R/o Murliganj, P.S.- Murliganj, District- Madhepura

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant : Mr. Sunil Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Respondent HPCL: Mr. Rajeev Prakash, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI

and

HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. NILU AGRAWAL

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI)

Date: 10-04-2017

Heard counsel for the appellant and counsel for the
respondent Corporation.

The appeal is dismissed. No inference is warranted with
the order dated 23.04.2014 because the learned single Judge did not
find adequate material to set aside the panel prepared for grant of
retail outlet. The allegation of the appellant that his plot of land was



wrongly rejected or the stand taken in the appeal now that the selected candidate's location is also within the prohibited 1000 meters is of no avail for the reason that the learned single Judge gave open authority to intimate the National Highway Authority of India with regard to the so-called anomaly of location and the distance.

It seems that after passing of the order dated 23.04.2014, nothing of such kind has been done by the appellant besides filing the memo of appeal.

There is no infirmity with the order of the learned single Judge, which requires rectification in any manner.

Appeal is dismissed.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

(Nilu Agrawal, J)

Pawan/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	11.04.2017
Transmission Date	N/A

