

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2969 of 2016

=====

Madan Sharma, son of Late Girja Sharma, resident of Village- Chhota Bangra,
Police Station- Sugauli, District- East Champaran.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The Union of India, through the Electrical Engineer, Senior Division, East Middle Railway, Samastipur.
2. Divisional Railway Manager (Executive), East Middle Railway, Samastipur.
3. Section Engineer (Electric)/Train Light, Darbhanga, East Middle Railway, Samastipur.
4. The Assistant Divisional Electric Engineer, East Middle Railway, Samastipur.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rajesh Kr. Singh, Advocate
Mr. Anil Kumar, Advocate

For the Railways : Mr. Din Bandhu Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI

and

HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. NILU AGRAWAL

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI)

Date: 19-01-2017

Petitioner is given liberty to approach the Central Administrative Tribunal, may be by way of a review, and he can establish the factum that his MA was very much available on record when the impugned order was passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal because the petitioner is very much emphatic that his MA was very much available on record and there was an error apparent on the face of record which was committed by the Tribunal in rejecting the claim of the petitioner that no application



for condonation of delay has been filed.

Writ application is disposed of in terms of
above.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

(Nilu Agrawal, J)

Rajesh/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	21.01.2017
Transmission Date	

