

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14568 of 2016

=====

Mohd. Hassim Sah @ Mohammad Hasim, Son of Late Mohammad Najir Sah @ Late Mohd. Najir Sah, resident of Mohalla- Naya Bazar, Ward No 08, P.S.- Buxar, (Town), District- Buxar.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Higher Education Department Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Director, Prathamik Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Chairman, Bihar School Examination Board, Patna.
6. The Secretary, Bihar School Examination Board, Patna.
7. The Authority of Special Investigation Team of the Vigilance of the State Government of Bihar, Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Nil Kamal, Advocate
For the BSEB : Mr. Satyabir Bharti, Advocate
Ms. Aparna Arun, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date: 22-03-2017

Petitioner has filed this writ petition in public interest for constituting a Special Vigilance Team to enquire into the conditions of the Middle School and other school buildings in the State of Bihar and to take steps for ensuring that good infrastructure facilities are available in the Institutes in question.

2. Respondent No. 4 has filed a detailed counter affidavit and in Paragraph 5 onwards has indicated the steps being



taken for construction of school buildings and upgradation of infrastructure facilities.

3. That being so, with regard to the same, we are not inclined to take any further action in the matter or issue any direction. As far as illegalities committed with regard to misappropriation of funds and with regard to constitution of a Vigilance Team for this purpose is concerned, merely on the basis of vague allegations made, based on newspaper report, we are not inclined to constitute any Vigilance Team. The petitioner may make a complaint before the Lokayukta organization or the Chief Vigilance Authority of the State Government and it would be for those authorities to look into the grievance of the petitioner.

4. With the aforesaid finding, no further indulgence is warranted in the matter.

5. The Writ Petition is disposed of.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

(Sudhir Singh, J)

P.K.P.

AFR/NAFR	N.A.F.R.
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	24.03.2017
Transmission Date	

