

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3848 of 2016

IN

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 4125 of 2013

=====

Mahendra Kumar Singh, Son of Late Taleshwar Singh, Resident of Village-
Adalwari Buddha Colony Hazipur.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. A. K. Mishra, the Regional Manager (G. M.)
2. Mr. K.S. Ravi, the Assistant Regional Manager (A.R.M.)
3. R.S. Verma, the Chief Manager.
All the Opposite Parties No. 1 to 3 are at Regional Office, Pawapuri Vihar,
Building N.H. 28 Near Bhagwanpur Chowk, P.S. Sadar, District Muzaffarpur.
4. Mr. Abhinash Sharma, The Branch Manager, Central Bank of India, Terasia
Branch, Hazipur, District- Vaishali at Hajipur.
5. Mr. Ashok Kumar Thakur, The Chief Branch Manager, L.I.C. of India, Soni
Alankar Complex Gudri Road, Hazipur, District- Vaishali. null null

.... Opposite Parteis

=====

Appearance:

For the Petitioner : Mr. Murli Manohar Singh, Advocate
For the Bank : Mr. Ajay Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For OP No. 5 : Mr. Raj Dular Sah, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 22-02-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel
for the opposite parties.

2. The present petition has been filed for initiating a contempt
proceeding against the opposite parties for willful disobedience of the
order of this Court dated 28.07.2016 passed in CWJC No. 4125 of 2013
requiring the authorities to consider the petitioner's case and taking
decision at their end.

3. A show cause has been filed on behalf of the opposite



parties, Annexure-R/1 whereof, being a letter dated 06.02.2017, discloses that a final decision has been taken by the authorities and thus it is submitted that the order of this Court stands complied with.

4. It is stated that the amount due to the petitioner namely, Rs. 49,135/- for which a demand draft no. 434025 was prepared on 28.06.2013 drawn on Central Bank of India, Hajipur has now been revalidated on 07.02.2017. The original demand draft has been handed over by learned counsel for the opposite parties to learned counsel for the petitioner in Court today which has been accepted.

5. In the above view of the matter, MJC No. 3848 of 2016 stands disposed of with liberty to the petitioner that in case he is aggrieved by the order of the authorities as noticed above, he may agitate the same before an appropriate forum in accordance with law, if so advised.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Chandran/BT

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	23.02.2017
Transmission Date	N.A.

