

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14957 of 2004

- =====
1. Md. Sultan Ansari son of Maulvi Abdul Majid, resident of Sultanganj, P.O. Mahendru, Patna, presently posted as Branch Manager, Saran Kshetriya Gramin Bank, Darihara at & P.O. Darihara, Via Sonapur, District- Saran.
 2. Vinoy Kumar Srivastava son of Sri Ashtabhuj Prasad, presently posted as Branch Manager, Saran Kshetriya Gramin Bank at & P.O. Baijalpur, District- Saran.
 3. Mohammad Arif son of Late Naseer Ahmar, presently posted as Branch Manager, Saran Kshetriya Gramin Bank, Mahamda, District- Saran.

.... Petitioners

Versus

1. The Saran Kshetriya Gramin Bank, Head Office, Dahiyawan, Chapra, District- Saran through it's Chairman.
2. The Chairman, Saran Kshetriya Gramin Bank, Head Office, Dahiyawan, Chapra, District- Saran
3. The Chief Manager, Central Bank of India, Zonal Office, Club Road, Muzaffarpur-842002.
4. Sri Awadh Kishore Mishra, Chapra Branch
5. Sri Prabhat Kumar, Daudpur Branch
6. Sri Lalit Mohan Tiwari, Head Office Chapra.
7. Sri Arun Kumar, Head Office, Chapra.
8. Sri Indra Narayan Singh, Kopa Branch
9. Sri Subrat Ghosh, Rasulpur Chati Branch.
10. Sri Gopal Prasad Gupta, Kamta Branch.
11. Sri Shiva Nand Sahay, Sonho Branch.
12. Md. Shoib, Satjora Branch.
13. Sri Ranjeet Prasad, Kohra Branch.

All respondent nos. 4 to 13, through the Chairman, Saran Kshetriya Gramin Bank, Head Office, Dahiyawan, Chapra, District- Saran.

14. The Uttar Bihar Kshetriya Gramin Bank, through the Chairman, Head Office Kalambagh Chowk, Muzaffarpur, Bihar.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioners : Mr. P.K. Verma, Sr. Advocate
Mr. S.R. Sharan
Dr. Mankeshwar Tiwari, Advocates.

For the Respondents : None.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 12-09-2017

The present petition has been filed for quashing the
circulars contained in letter No. H.O./PRS/8485/185 dated 08.07.2004



and letter no. H.O./PRS/04-05/199 dated 04.08.1984, whereby ten respective Junior Officers of Scale-I (Respondent Nos. 4 to 13) have been allowed to steal a march over the petitioners, granting them promotion in the Scale-II Grade.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners appears and states that he has no instructions in the matter.

3. None appears on behalf of the respondents when the matter is called.

4. Considering that the writ petition has been pending since 2004 and the petitioners also appear to have stopped taking interest in the matter and have not instructed their counsel appropriately, as learned counsel has appeared without the brief and no submissions to be made, the writ petition stands dismissed.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Md. Ibrarul/Chandran

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	14.09.2017
Transmission Date	N.A.

