

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Letters Patent Appeal No.642 of 2015

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 17669 of 2014

=====

Maheshwar Duwedi @ Baban Dubey son of Late Ram Pravesh Duwedi
Resident of Village - Mohan Chak, P.O. Akhtiarpur, P.S. Bikram, Distt. -
Patna (Bihar)

.... Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Revenue Department,
Bihar, Patna
2. District Collector, Patna
3. Additional Collector, Patna
4. Land Reforms Deputy Collector, Paliganj, Patna
5. Circle officer, Bikram, Patna
6. Meena Devi W/o Suresh Kumar R/o vill. - Mangalichak, P.O. + P.S.
Dhanarua, Distt. - Patna (Bihar)

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance:

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Ratneswar Prasad, Advocate
Krishna Kant Tiwary, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Biswaranjan Chaudhary, AC to SC-27

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR
TRIPATHI**

and

**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN
PRASAD**

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI)

2 19-07-2017

Heard counsel for the appellant and the State.

The appeal is dismissed.

The option given by the learned Single Judge to the
present appellant is still available to him. The Court can only
observe that any adjudication made by the authority i.e. the DCLR
may not have any manifestation or reflection in the suit which is
either pending, in which the appellant can become a party if not



already a party or unless he wants to file a different title suit seeking relief therein. Such suits always be decided on the evidence and material brought before the Court and not on what had been adjudicated in some other proceedings before another forum.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

S.Sb/-

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

U			
---	--	--	--

