

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.62496 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -201 Year- 2017 Thana -MADANPURA District- AURANGABAD

=====

Suresh Noniya, S/o Tapesar Noniya, R/o Village- Madhokhap, P.S.-
Madanpur, District- Aurangabad.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Humayou Ahmad Khan, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2 22-12-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in **Madanpur**
P.S. Case No. 201 of 2017 instituted for the offence under
Sections 341, 323, 379, 504 and 506/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that
there is no injury on the record having sustained by the side of the
informant. It has been submitted that prior to institution of present
First Information Report, the petitioner had got instituted
Madanpur P.S. Case No. 200 of 2017 on 5.9.2017 against the
informant and his other family members. There is land dispute
between the parties and both parties are *Gotiyas*.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case,
prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is allowed. In the



event of surrender/arrest of the petitioner, named above, within six weeks from today, in connection with **Madanpur P.S. Case No. 201 of 2017**, he shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned **Chief Judicial Magistrate, Aurangabad**, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr. P.C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioner and (3) if petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

S.Ali/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

