

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3113 of 2015

IN

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 19145 of 2011

=====

1. Prahlad Singh son of Late Baleshwar Singh, retired steno typist, Rajendra College, Chapra, presently residing at Mohalla Brindavan Colony, west of Bhagwat Bidya Pith, Bagwan Bazar, Chapra, District- Saran.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary Human Resources Development Govt. of Bihar Patna Namely R.K. Mahajan.

2. Mr. Pandit Prabhakar Palande, Vice-Chancellor, Bhim Rao Ambedkar Bihar University, Muzaffarpur.

3. Mr. Ratnesh Mishra, the Registrar, Bhim Rao Ambedkar Bihar University, Muzaffarpur.

4. Mr. Raj Narain Sinha, the Finance Officer, Bhim Rao Ambedkar Bihar University, Muzaffarpur.

5. Dr. Dwijendra Kumar Gupta, the Vice- Chancellor, Jai Prakash University, Chapra.

6. Mr. Ravi Prakash Bablu, the Registrar, Jai Prakash University, Chapra.

7. Mr. Raj Kishore Prasad Sinha, the Finance Officer, Jai Prakash University, Chapra.

8. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna namely R.K. Mahajan,

9. Mr. Dr. Khalid Mirza, the Director, Higher Education, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat Building, Bailey Road, Patna- 800001.



10. Mr. Ravi Mittal, Commissioner-cum-Pr. Secretary, Finance Department, Government of Bihar, Old Secretariat Building, Patna- 800015.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Santosh Kr. Jha, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Zabi Haider, A.C. to SC-9

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI
SHARAN SINGH**

ORAL JUDGMENT & ORDER

Date: 25-01-2017

Heard the parties.

2. On perusal of the supplementary show-cause reply, filed on behalf of the Registrar, Jai Prakash University, Chapra, it appears that this Court's order, dated 04.12.2014, passed in C.W.J.C. No. 19145 of 2011, has been complied with. The difference of pensionary benefits has also been paid.

3. Learned counsel, appearing on behalf of the petitioner, has, however, raised grievance that the College had recommended payment of a sum of Rs. 14,00,000/- and odds against difference of retiral benefits. However, the petitioner has been held to be entitled for only a sum of Rs. 3,00,000/- and odds. He submits that slashing down the amount by revising the pay-scale is unreasonable.

4. Since the order of this Court, dated 04.12.2014,



passed in C.W.J.C. No. 19145 of 2011, has substantially been complied, I do not intend to proceed any further in the matter. However, it goes without saying that the petitioner shall be at liberty to question reduction of pay-scale and less payment of difference amount of pensionary benefits in appropriate proceeding.

5. This application is, accordingly, disposed of.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J.)

Praveen-II/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	29.01.2017
Transmission Date	29.01.2017

