

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**

**Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3925 of 2013**

**IN**

**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 14088 of 2012**

- =====
1. Subhash Mohan Mishra, Son of Lambodhar Mishra Resident of Village - Mahishi, P.S.- Mahisihi, District - Saharsa
  2. Pramod Kuamr Choudhary Son of Late Surendra Narayan Choudhary Resident of Village - Mahishi, P.S.- Mahisihi, District - Saharsa
  3. Bindeshwari Prasad Yadav Son of Late Babuji Yadav Resident of Village - Jobaha, P.S.- Marauna, District- Supaul
  4. Mahendra Singh Son of Lakhan Singh Resident of Village - Bhagwatpur, P.S.- Mahishil, District - Saharsa
  5. Laliteshwar Jha Son of Dev Narayan Jha Resident of Village -Baghwa, P.S.- Balba, District - Saharsa
  6. Amar Nath Pathak Son of Dashrath Pathak Resident of Village - Mahishi, P.S.- Mahisihi, District - Saharsa
  7. Ramesh Choudhary Son of Late Kalikant Choudhary Resident of Village - Mahishi, P.S.- Mahisihi, District - Saharsa
  8. Dr. Chakradhar Jha "Bimal" Son of Late Gangadhar Jha Resident of Village - Mahishi, P.S.- Mahishi, District - Saharsa
  9. Shambhu Nath Jha Son of Late Satya Narayan Jha Residet of Village - Gopalpur, P.S.- Uda kishunganj, District - Madhepura
  10. Shatrughan Choudhary Son of Late Shiv Shankar Choudhary Resident of Village - Mahishi, P.S.- Mahisihi, District - Saharsa

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar namely Sri Amarjeet Sinha
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, namely Sri Amarjeet Sinha
3. The Director, Secondary Education, Government of Bihar, namely Sri Ram Bujhavan Choudhary
4. The Regional Deputy Director of Education, Saharsa, namely Sri Prakash Ranjan

.... .... Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s :

For the Respondent/s :

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE**

**ORAL JUDGMENT**

**Date: 20-07-2017**

Keeping in view the show-cause filed by the respondents  
indicating inclusion of the name of the petitioners in the cadre of



Headmaster, as is evident from the Gradation List prepared (Annexure-A), respondents are now directed to consider the claim of the petitioners for appointment as Headmaster and take a decision within a reasonable time.

With the aforesaid, keeping in view the compliance reported by the respondents, the contempt application stands disposed of.

**(Rajendra Menon, CJ)**

P.K.P.

|                   |            |
|-------------------|------------|
| AFR/NAFR          | N.A.F.R.   |
| CAV DATE          | N.A.       |
| Uploading Date    | 25.07.2017 |
| Transmission Date |            |

