

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 13961 of 2015

=====

Lakshman Pandey S/o Late Baidyanath Pandey, R/o Mohalla - Wachaspati Nagar
Colony, P.S. Bahadurpur, District - Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Transport Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Administrator, Bihar State Road Transport Corporation, Pariwahan Bhawan, Birchand Patel Marg, Patna.
3. The Chief Accounts Officer cum Financial Advisor, Bihar State Road Transport Corporation, Pariwahan Bhawan, Birchand Patel Marg, Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.
For the State : Ms. Prakritita Sharma, A.C. to S.C. 25

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 22-05-2017

Learned counsel for the State drew the attention of the
Court to the previous order.

2. On 05.05.2016, the Court had recorded that the sole
petitioner had died and that learned counsel for the petitioner had
prayed for taking steps to substitute his heirs. Accordingly, the matter
was directed to be listed after Annual Vacation.

3. Even till date, no substitution having been filed,
coupled with the fact that the writ petitioner has died and even after
grant of time by the Court for filing substitution more than a year has
passed, the writ petition is not maintainable as the sole petitioner is
dead without there being any substitution petition pending.



4. Such being the position, the writ petition stands dismissed as not maintainable.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J.)

P. Kumar

AFR/NAFR	
U	

