

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 9396 of 2016

=====

Devendra Kumar Singh, Son of late Chandrashekhar Singh, Resident of
Barahiya, P.S. Barahiya, District Lakhisarai. Petitioner.

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Principal Secretary, Road Construction Department, Bihar,
Patna.
3. The Deputy Secretary (Management Cell), Road Construction
Department, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Superintendent Engineer, Central Circle, Road Construction
Department, Patna.
5. The Superintendent Patna Medical College and Hospital, Patna.
.... Respondents.

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Prabhu Nath Pathak, Adv.

For the Respondents: Mr. Suddhu Kumar Singh, AC to SC 23

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE RAVI RANJAN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 17-01-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

Though, a copy of the writ petition has been served
upon learned counsel for the State on 12.05.2016, no counter
affidavit has been filed till date.

The grievance of the petitioner who was the Assistant
Engineer, Central Circle, Road Constriction Department, Govt.
of Bihar, Patna is that he had undergone treatment for
nephropathy and hypothyroidism and was on dialysis from 2012
till the date of his retirement, i.e., 31.08.2013. After due sanction
of the competent authority he had undergone treatment after
which he has submitted his medical bills for reimbursement. Part
payment of more than Rs. 5,00,000/- has been made to the



petitioner but Rs. 15, 32,228/- are still to be reimbursed to him. He has not got any communication from the competent authority despite representing them on several occasions.

Since no counter affidavit has been filed, this Court would direct the Secretary, Health Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna to examine the matter of the petitioner. In case petitioner's claim is found tenable then immediate steps for reimbursement of medical bills should be ascertained by him. If needed, he may ask the petitioner to submit the papers which are not found on record.

In view of the fact that the petitioner is suffering from serious ailment which requires huge investment for treatment, it is expected that the entire exercise would be completed within a period of three months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order. If the petitioner's claim is not tenable then a reasonable order would be required to be passed by him which should be immediately communicated to the petitioner.

Accordingly, this writ petition stands disposed of.

(Dr. Ravi Ranjan, J.)

Vikash/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	23.01.2017
Transmission Date	NA

