

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.56133 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -176 Year- 2017 Thana -PIPRA District-
EASTCHAMPARAN(MOTIHARI)

- =====
1. Urmila Devi, wife of Chundeo Sah.
 2. Chundeo Sah, son of late Shivdeni Sah.
 3. Prakash Sah @ Prakash Kumar Sah, son of Chundeo Sah, all residents of village- Madhurapur, P.S.- Pipra, District- East Champaran.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Umesh Chandra Verma, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Arun Kumar Singh -5, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2/ 22-11-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned

APP for the State.

Petitioners apprehend their arrest in **Pipra P.S. Case No.176 of 2017** instituted for the offence under Section(s) 304-B, 201, 120-B Indian Penal Code.

It has been submitted that petitioners are relatives of husband of the deceased. Husband is already in custody.

In the written report, there is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioners.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer of the petitioners for grant of anticipatory bail is allowed. In the event of surrender/arrest of the petitioners, named above, within six weeks from today in connection with **Pipra P.S. Case**



No.176 of 2017, they shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of `10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the **Chief Judicial Magistrate, Motihari, East Champaran**, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr. P. C. with further conditions: (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioners shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioners and (3) if petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioners.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

JA/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

