

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.4723 of 2013

IN

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 18750 of 2010

=====

1. Amrit Anand S/o- Dr. Chittranjan Bhakta , R/o - Flat No. G-3 Grand Pallavi Court Judge's Court Road , Behind B.N. College, P.S. - Pirbahore, District- Patna,
2. Anando Bhakta , S/o- Dr. Chittranjan Bhakta , R/o - Flat No. G-3 Grand Pallavi Court Judge's Court Road , Behind B.N. Collage, P.S. - Pirbahore, District- Patna,

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar , through Amarjeet Sinha , Principal Secretary Higher Education New Secretariat Bailey Road , Patna.
2. Mr. Arun Kumar , The Vice Chancellor Patna University , Ashok Rajpath Patna.
3. Mr. Vibhas Kumar Yadav , The Registrar Patna University Ashok Rajpath Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. RADHA MOHAN PATHAK

For the Respondent/s : Mr. MADHURESH PRASAD

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 09-11-2017

From the counter affidavit filed by the respondents, it is clear that the claim of the petitioners, as per the calculation made by the University has been settled.

Prayer of the petitioners now from the supplementary affidavit is that the interest calculation of on Provident Fund amount has not been properly made as per the order dated 08.03.2013 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 18750 of 2010 which would show that the University was directed to settle the claim within a reasonable period of time.

Records indicated that the claim as per the calculation done by the University has been settled. Now, with regard to the



dispute about the manner in which the claim has been settled and the interest paid between the petitioners and the respondent cannot be adjudicated in a contempt proceeding.

Once the order passed in the writ petition has been complied with no further action is required in the matter. The petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to raise their grievance, with regard to modification of order of payment of the interest at the rate lower than entitlement of the petitioners which has been ventilated by the petitioners in the supplementary affidavit, in accordance with law before the appropriate forum/court.

The case stands disposed of with the aforesaid liberty.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

KKSINHA/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	16.11.2017
Transmission Date	NA

