

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.52898 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -1957 Year- 2013 Thana -GAYA COMPLAINT CASE District- GAYA
=====

Md. Shakeel @ Azad S/o Md. Mansur Alam, Resident of Village-
Nawadih, Panari, P.S.- Hunterganj, District- Chatra (Jharkhand).

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Raushan Ara, D/o Md. Saukat Ali, Resident of Village- Shivanagar Tola,
Mokarim Chak, P.S.- Tekari, District- Gaya.

.... Opposite Party/s
=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arvind Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Satyavarat Verma
=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

5 30-08-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned counsel for the State.

Petitioner apprehends arrest in connection with

Complaint Case No. 1957 of 2013 registered under Sections-
498A of the Indian Penal Code and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition
Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that

petitioner, who happens to be husband, is innocent and has

falsely been implicated in this case. Further submission is that

petitioner is ready to keep his wife.

Learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State

opposed the prayer of the petitioner with contention that



petitioner is husband, who is fully responsible for the alleged occurrence. On perusal of Mediation Proceeding Case No. 242 of 2017, it appears that in spite of best and sincere efforts, the mediation could not succeed.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions advanced on behalf of the petitioner, I do not feel inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. His prayer for anticipatory bail is, therefore, rejected.

(Arvind Srivastava, J)

Shailendra/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

