

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1496 of 2013

IN

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 4260 of 2012

=====

Shimla Devi W/O Satendra Singh, R/O Village and P.O. Pipariya, P.S. Mohania,
District - Kaimur at Bhabhua

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Secretary namely Mr. G.C. Chaturvedi, Ministry Of Petroleum and Natural Gas, Govt. Of India, New Delhi
2. Mr. R.K. Singh, The Chairman/Managing Director, Bharat Petroleum Corporation Limited, Registered Office - Bharat Bhawan, 4 And 6, Karimbhai Road, Belard Estate, Post Box. No. 688, Mumbai - 400 001
3. Mr. Shri Prasad Shiv Shankar, The Incharge/Head, Bharat Petroleum Corporation Limited, Bihar State Office;- Third Floor, Ashiana Chamber, Exhibition Road, Post Box No. 20, Patna 800001
4. Mr. P.N. Jha, The Territory Manager, Bharat Petroleum Corporation Limited, Bihar State Office - Third Floor, Ashiana Chamber, Exhibition Road, Post Box No. 20, Patna - 800001
5. Mr. Sanjay Akka, The Area Marketing Manager, Bharat Petroleum Corporation Limited, Bihar State Office:- Third Floor Ashiana Chamber, Exhibition Road, Post Box No. 20, Patna - 800001
6. Mr. Sanjay Kumar, The Coordinator, Bharat Petroleum Corporation Limited, Bihar State Office ; - Third Floor Ashiana Chamber, Exhibition Road, Post Box No. 20, Patna - 800001
7. The State Of Bihar through Mr. Arbind Kumar Singh, the District Magistrate, Kaimur at Bhabhua

....Opposite Parties / Contemnors.

=====

Appearance:

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dharmendra Kumar Singh, Advocate.
For the State : Mr. Jitendra Kumar, AC to AAG 14.
For the Respondents 2 & 6: Mr. Sanjay Singh and
Mr. Apurv harsh, Advocates.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 15-03-2017

On 26.06.2012, CWJC No. 4260/2012 was disposed of
by the Writ Court directing the Corporation to dispose of the
objections of the petitioner within a period of two weeks. Vide order



dated 11.07.2012 (Annexure ‘C’ to the Counter Affidavit), the respondents have rejected the claim of the petitioner even though beyond the period of two weeks, as directed by the Writ Court.

2. Keeping in view the compliance made by the respondents, no further action is required to be taken in contempt proceedings. In case, further grievance of the petitioner is still subsisting that the representation has been wrongly rejected, liberty shall be available to the petitioner to challenge the same in accordance with law. No case is made out for initiating contempt proceedings.

3. The contempt application stands disposed of.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

Dilip, A.R.

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	

