

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.58022 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -1021 Year- 2016 Thana -PATNA COMPLAINT CASE District-
PATNA

=====

1. Shiv Kumar Chauhan @ Shiv Kumar Chohan, Son of Krish Chauhan.
2. Arjun Chauhan @ Arjun Chohan, Son of Ram Jee Chauhan
3. Sadhu Chauhan @ Sadhu Chohan, Son of Late Raghu Nandan Chauhan
4. Vijot Chauhan, Son of Jagdish Chauhan
5. Santosh Chauhan @ Santosh Chohan @ Santosh Chauhan, Son of
Mukesh Chauhan.
6. Sharwan Chauhan @ Sharwan Chohan, Son of Sidhi Chauhan, All are
resident of Village- Veera Kunwar, P.O. Bele, P.S. Islampur, District-
Nalanda.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Shyam Sunder Chauhan, S/o Geegal Chauhan, R/o Village- Jethuli,
P.S.- Fatuha, District- Patna.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Babu Nandan Prasad
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Abhay Kumar Roy

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 20-12-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
counsel for the State.

The petitioners seek pre-arrest bail in connection
with Complaint Case No. 1021(C) of 2016 registered under
Sections 406 and 420 of the Indian Penal Code.

It is stated by the learned counsel for the petitioners
that the allegation of paying wages in advance to the petitioners is
absolutely false. He submitted that save and except the oral
allegation, there is no document to support the fact that the alleged



amount of money was ever given by the complainant to the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the State opposed the application for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

Considering the nature of allegation, in the event of arrest or surrender before the court below within four weeks from today, the petitioners are directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Patna City in connection with Complaint Case No. 1021(C) of 2016, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Ashwani Kumar Singh, J)

Pradeep/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

