

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9762 of 2016

=====

Chandra Shekhar Singh @ Chandra Shekhar Pd. Singh son of late Yogendra Singh,
Proprietor M/S C.S. Construction, Resident of Mohalla- Gayatri Nagar, Police
Station- Motihari Town, District- East Champaran.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Principal Secretary, Central Public Works Department, New Delhi.
2. The Assistant Commissioner, NVS, RO, Patna.
3. The Executive Engineer, NVS, RO, Patna.
4. The Executive Engineer, Patna Central Public Works Department, Punaichak, Patna.
5. The Executive Engineer, Central Public Works Department, Small Industries Office, Gosala Road, Muzaffarpur.
6. The Assistant Engineer, Bettiah Central Sub Division, Central Public Works Department, Bettiah, West Champaran.
7. The Principal, Jawahar Navodaya Vidyalaya, Pipra Kothi East Champaran.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Madhurendra Kumar
For the Respondent/s : Mr. S.D Sanjay (Addl. Soc. Gen.)
Mr. Ram Anurag Singh, CGC

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 27-02-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and counsel for the respondents.

In this case the petitioner is making a prayer that that he should be paid the amount of bill for construction of the building of Jawahar Naovday Vidyalaya at East Champaran, Bihar.

An advertisement was published for appointment of Contractor, as the petitioner was selected, being L-1, the work was allotted to him. The petitioner entered into agreement being



Agreement No. 06/CE/EE/PCD-II/2010-11, after completion of the work, an inspection was made by the authority concerned wherein it was found that the building has been constructed as per specification, the petitioner submitted the bill but, the payment has not been made to the petitioner to which he is entitled.

Let the Assistant Commissioner, N.V.S. Regional Office, Patna (Respondent No.2) would examine the case of the petitioner, if found that the petitioner is entitled to any such amount, he will be obliged to release the admissible amount to the petitioner to which he is entitled and, further if it is found that there is a dispute, the petitioner will be at liberty to take action in accordance with law.

With the aforementioned observation, this application is disposed of.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

Rishi/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	02.03.2017
Transmission Date	

