

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

**Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.363 of 2013
Arising out of
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 12001 of 2012**

=====

Kamlakant Ray, son of Late Suraj Ray, Resident of Village – Pathachoura, P.O.-
Aghaila, P.S.-Siwan (Mufassil), District-Siwan.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Mr. Amarjit Sinha, the Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. Mr. Amarjit Sinha, the Principal Secretary, Government of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, Patna.
3. Jai Prakash University, Chapra, through Mr. Tapeshwari Prasad Singh, Registrar, District-Saran at Chapra.
4. Registrar, Sri Tapeshwari Prasad Singh, Jai Prakash University, Chapra, District-Saran at Chapra.
5. Dr. Ram Vinod Singh, the Vice-Chancellor, Jai Prakash University, Chapra, District-Saran at Chapra.
6. Baba Saheb Bheem Rao Ambedkar Bihar University Muzaffarpur, District-Muzaffarpur through its Registrar, Sri Akhileshwar Prasad Mishra.
7. Sri Akhileshwar Prasad Mishra, the Registrar, Baba Saheb Bheem Rao Ambedkar, Bihar university Muzaffarpur, District-Muzaffarpur.
8. Dr. Vimal Kumar, the Vice-Chancellor, Baba Saheb Bheem Rao Ambedkar Bihar University Muzaffarpur, District-Muzaffarpur.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance:

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shubh Narain Singh, Advocate.
For respondent nos. 6 to 8: Mr. Dhruba Mukherjee, Sr. Advocate.
Mr. Ganesh Singh, Advocate.
For respondent nos. 4 to 5: Mr. Mrigendra Kumar, Advocate.
For the State : Mr. Anjani Kumar, AAG-4.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
ORAL JUDGMENT
Date: 28-02-2017

On 16.07.2012, the writ application filed by the petitioner was disposed of with a direction to the Universities to pass a reasoned order furnishing details of the calculations with regard to the liabilities, so that if the petitioner is aggrieved, he may pursue his remedies.



In pursuance of such order, Jai Prakash University has passed a reasoned order on 07.12.2013 appended as Annexure-B to the show cause dated 18.12.2013 pointing out the payments made to the petitioner and also as to why the dearness allowance is not payable.

Since an order has been passed, the petitioner may avail such other remedy as is available to him for redressal of the grievance arising out of the order dated 07.12.2013.

The contempt application stands disposed of.

(Hemant Gupta, ACJ)

Mishra

AFR/NAFR	N.A.F.R.
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	02.03.2017
Transmission Date	

