

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No. 2676 of 2015

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 11399 of 2008

=====

1. Binod Kumar Singh Son of late Ajit Nand Singh.
2. Pramod Kumar Singh Son of late Ajit Nand Singh.
3. Rana Kumod Singh Son of late Ajit Nand Singh.
4. Bibhuti Kumar Singh son of late Satya Narayan Singh.
5. Birendra Singh @ Birendra Kumar Singh son of late Satya Narayan Singh.
6. Manoj Kumar Singh son of late Vidya Narayan Singh.
7. Saroj Kumar Singh son of late Vidya Narayan Singh.
8. Amboj Kumar Singh son of late Vidya Narayan Singh.

All are the resident of village Kumarpur, Police Station- Sultanganj, District- Bhagalpur.

.... Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Collector, Bhagalpur. Distt- Bhagalpur.
3. The Additional Collector, land Ceiling, Bhagalpur, Distt- Bhagalpur.
4. The Sub Divisional Officer, Bhagalpur, Distt- Bhagalpur.
5. The Circle Officer, Sultanganj, Distt- Bhagalpur.
6. The Anchal Adhikari, Sultanganj, Distt- Bhagalpur.
7. The Anchal Adhikari, Kahalgoan Distt- Bhagalpur.
8. The Anchal Adhikari, Sanhaula, Distt- Bhagalpur.
9. The Anchal Adhikari, Shakhund Distt- Bhagalpur.

.... Opp. Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Swapnil Kumar Singh

For the Opp. Party/s : AC to GP - 3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2. 19-04-2017 Heard Sri Swapnil Kumar Singh, learned counsel for petitioners and learned A.C. to Govt. Pleader – 3.

This is the second petition for restoration of C.W.J.C. No. 11399 of 2008. The writ petition was earlier dismissed due to non-prosecution on 18-04-2013. Thereafter, on petition filed for restoration, vide M.J.C. No. 3086 of 2013, the writ petition was



directed to be restored. After restoration of the writ petition, again continuously on two dates i.e. on 16-02-2015 and 23-02-2015, there was non-appearance on behalf of petitioners and as such, the writ petition stood dismissed.

In the present restoration petition, in paragraph – 3, it has been indicated that due to non-marking of the case by the Advocate's Clerk, there was non-appearance. The ground set-forth in the petition is not sufficient to persuade the Court to restore the writ petition.

Accordingly, the restoration petition stands dismissed.

(Rakesh Kumar, J.)

Anay

U			
---	--	--	--

