

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Letters Patent Appeal No.519 of 2016

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 18532 of 2015

=====

Madhya Bihar Gramin Bank

.... Appellant/s

Versus

Shilpy Suman & Ors

.... Respondent/s

=====

with

Letters Patent Appeal No.420 of 2016

IN

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 18532 of 2015

=====

Institute of Banking Personnel Selection (I. B. P. S.), IBPS House, 90 Feet,
D.P. Road, Near Thakur Polytechnic, Post Box No. 8587, Kandivali East,
Mumbai (Maharashtra), PIN 400101

.... Appellant/s

Versus

1. Shilpy Suman Daughter of Ranjeet Kumar resident of Budh Bihar Colony, Bhoot Nath Road, Kankarbagh, P.S. Agamkuan, District - Patna
2. The Union of India through the Secretary, Department of Finance, New Delhi
3. Madhaya Bihar Gramin Bank through its General Manager, Opposite Museum, Chhajubagh Road, P.S. Kotwali, District - Patna 800001

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

(In LPA No.519 of 2016)

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Suresh Pd Singh No.1

For the Respondent/s : Mr. S.D Sanjay (Addl. Soc. Gen.)

(In LPA No.420 of 2016)

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Siddhartha Prasad

For the Respondent/s : Mr. S.D Sanjay (Addl. Soc. Gen.)

Mr. Sujeet Kr. Sinha,Adv

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR

UPADHYAY

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

5 19-06-2017

LPA No. 519 of 2016 and LPA No. 420 of 2016 have

been filed by the Institute of Banking Personnel Selection (IBPS)



and the Madhya Bihar Gramin Bank, challenging the order passed by the learned writ court on 21.11.2016 in C.W.J.C. No. 18532 of 2015 whereby it was directed that respondent employee who was petitioner in the writ petition, namely Kumari Shilpy Suman be permitted to participate in the interview to be conducted by appellants.

Learned counsel appearing for the original petitioner in the writ petition, namely, Kumari Shilpy Suman points out that the said person is no more interested in participating into the selection process or working with the appellant bank as she has got selected in another bank. That being so, this appeal has become infructuous and the orders passed by the writ court could not be implemented by the appellant in view of stand taken by the original petitioner Kumari Shilpy Suman.

This appeal stands dismissed as having become infructuous due to subsequent development.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

