

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

**Letters Patent Appeal No.19 of 2016
IN
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 4827 of 2015**

=====

Rinkee Kumari, W/o - Sri Amit Kumar, R/o at & P.O. Karma, P.S.- Surjapura,
District - Rohtas.

.... Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Primary Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Education Officer, Rohtas (Sasaram).
4. The Block Development Officer, Block- Surjapura, District - Rohtas at Sasaram.
5. The Block Education Officer, Block- Surjapura, District - Rohtas at Sasaram.
6. The District Programme Officer (Estt.) Rohtas, Sasaram.
7. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj - Goshaldih, Block - Surjapura, District - Rohtas, Sasaram.
8. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj- Goshaldih, Block - Surjapura, District - Rohtas, Sasaram.
9. The Member, District Teacher Employment Appellate Authority, Rohtas (Sasaram).

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Rajeev Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. A. K. Rastogi, AAG-10

Mr. Sushil Kr. Singh, AC to AAG-10

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date: 08-11-2017

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties, we find that the writ petition filed by the petitioner was dismissed primarily on account of the fact that the petitioner had obtained the training in Nursery Teacher Training (NTT) from Teacher Training College of India, Buddha Public School, 83, Ashok Nagar, District Gaya, Bihar and the said qualification was not recognized for



appointment to the post in question and obtaining the pay scale of trained teacher on petitioner’s own showing, as is evident from Annexure-2 of the writ petition, the school in question was recognized for imparting Nursing School Education and the certificate in question is classified as a Nursery Teachers Education Certificate.

That being so, we find no error in the order passed by the learned Writ Court rejecting the claim of the petitioner that he was a trained teacher in accordance with the rule and entitled for the benefit.

The appeal stands dismissed.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Uday/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	14.11.2017
Transmission Date	NA

