

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.54783 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -349 Year- 2016 Thana -SAUR BAZAR District- SAHARSA

=====

1. Pintu Yadav @ Pintu Kumar son of Kartik Yadav.
2. Laltu Yadav @ Laltu Kumar, son of Kartik Yadav. null
3. Kartik Yadav, son of Late Jageshwar Yadav. All residents of Village-
Paharpur Kunwar Tola, Police Station- Sour Bazar, District- Saharsa.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Parmanand Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jai Narain Thakur

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2 16-11-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

Petitioners apprehend their arrest in Sour Bazar P.S.
Case No.349 of 2016 for the offences under Sections 341, 323,
354, 504 and 506/34 of the I.P.C.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the
petitioners have clean antecedent. There is allegation against
petitioner no.1 that he has attempted to push down the informant
and tear her cloth with bad intention. It is further alleged that when
she raised alarm he pressed her mouth. In course of investigation it
has come that hot discussion took place in between the informant
Sneha Kumari and co-accused Pintu Yadav on demand of mobile.



In the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer of the petitioners for grant of anticipatory bail is allowed. Let the petitioners, above named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below within six weeks from today, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of C.J.M., Saharsa, in Sour Bazar P.S. Case No.349/16 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of Cr.P.C. with further conditions: (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioners shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioners and (3) if petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioners.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

AnilKrSinha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

