

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.59038 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -121 Year- 2016 Thana -MAHILA P.S District- SUPAUL

=====

Bidyanand Yadav, Son of Rajenda Yadav Resident of Village- Hadri tola,
Bhita, P.S. Marauna, District- Supaul.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Pramod Mishra, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party : Smt. Madhuri Lata, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 08-12-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the opposite party no.
2.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case for
the offence registered under Sections 498(A), 323, 341, 366(A),
504, 506/34 of the IPC and ¾ of the D. P. Act.

The prosecution story, in brief, is that the accused
persons including the petitioner tortured the victim due to non-
fulfillment of demand of dowry.

It has been submitted by learned counsel for the
petitioner that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. There
is no allegation of tampering with the witnesses alleged against the
petitioner. The petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present



case due to petty family dispute. The victim girl has been recovered and her statement under Section 164 Cr. P.C. has been recorded. She has denied the allegation made in the F.I.R. The petitioner has further relied upon the judgment of this Court in the case of Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar, reported in 2006(3) PLJR 182.

On behalf of the learned counsels for the State and opposite party no. 2, it has been submitted that the petitioner is named in the F.I.R/ complaint case.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner above named, in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M., Supaul, in connection with Supaul Mahila P.S. Case No. 121 of 2016, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

U.K./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

(Sudhir Singh, J)