

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.1375 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -516 Year- 2016 Thana -BIHTA District- PATNA

=====

1. GAUTAM @ GAUTAM KUMAR & ANR.
2. Vicky @ Kunal Gaurav Both Sons of Sri Shambhoo Sao Resident of
Village- Damaniya Pools, Bihta, Police Station- Bihta, District- Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arvind Kumar Mouar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Rajkishore Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

3 14-02-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
counsel for the State.

Petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with
Bihta P.S. Case No. 516 of 2016 registered under Sections-
498(A), 304(B), 120(B)/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections-
3/4 of Dowry Prohibition Act.

Allegedly, the daughter of the informant was married
four years ago with brother of the petitioners. It is further alleged
that the informant's daughter was done to death on account of
non-fulfillment of illegal demand of dowry.

It is submitted that the informant is not eye witness of
the occurrence. The information regarding burning of the deceased
was very much given to the informant. The allegation of demand



of dowry is general and omnibus.

Considering the fact that petitioners are named in the FIR and witnesses at paragraphs-11, 12, 13 of the case diary corroborated the allegation against them and at paragraph-36 of the case diary, the injury was found on the person of the deceased, I am not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners and accordingly, their prayer for anticipatory bail in connection with Bihta P.S. Case No. 516 of 2016 stands rejected.

(Arvind Srivastava, J)

A.K.V./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

