

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1067 of 2015

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 22151 of 2013

=====

Ashok Kumar, Son of Late Baldeo Singh, Resident of Mohalla - Mahavir
Asthan, Moldiar Tola, P.O. Mokama, District - Patna

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Principal Secretary, Industries Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna, namely, Mr. Tripurari Saran.
2. Sri P.C. Vaish, Son of (Name of the father not known to the Petitioner), Chairman-cum-Managing Director, National Textile Corporation Limited, Scope Complex, Core - IV, Lodhi Road, New Delhi 110003.
3. Sri R.K. Sinha, Son of (Name of the father not known to the Petitioner), Director [H&R], National Textile Corporation Limited, Scope Complex, Core - IV, Lodhi Road, New Delhi 110003
4. Dr. R.C. Tiwary, Son of (Name of father not known to Petitioner), Managing Director-cum-Incharge, National Textile Corporation Limited, 7, Jawaharlal Nehru Road, Kolkata- 700013.
5. Sri S. Paul, Son of (Name of father not known to Petitioner), Deputy General Manager, National Textile Corporation Limited, Sub Office, Kolkata, 7, Jawaharlal Nehru Road, Kolkata- 700013.
6. Sri Devasis Raoy Choudhary, Son of (Name of father not known to Petitioner), Sr. Manager [F&A]/Legal/IA, National Textile Corporation Limited, Sub Officer, Kolkata, 7, Jawaharlal Nehru Road, Kolkata- 700013.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Chitranjan Sinha, Sr. Advocate
Mr. Pankaj Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sc9 R N Prasad

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SAMARENDRA
PRATAP SINGH**
ORAL ORDER

7 27-07-2017 The instant application has been filed for non-compliance of the order, dated 14.10.2014, passed in C.W.J.C. No. 22151 of 2013, whereby the Court directed the petitioner to file a fresh representation whereafter the respondents would dispose of the same preferably within a period of three months from the date of representation.



The petitioner submits that pursuant to order of this Court, he filed a detailed representation before the respondents-opposite parties. However, his representation was disposed of without considering the relevant materials.

Counsel for the opposite parties submits that the order of this Court has fully complied with and in fact the petitioner has not presented the entire facts to the Court. Furthermore, the writ application was disposed of at the stage of admission, without counter affidavit.

Having heard the counsel for the parties and perused the materials on record, this Court would give one more opportunity to the petitioner to file his representation, which will be considered on its merit without being prejudice. The remand of the matter is not construed that this Court has expressed any opinion on the merit of the case.

This application stands disposed of.

(Samarendra Pratap Singh, J.)

Uday/-

U			
---	--	--	--

