

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.52014 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -111 Year- 2017 Thana -RAFIGANJ District- AURANGABAD

=====

1. Ramesh Yadav, Son of Late Munarik Yadav, Resident of Village-Dhadhar, P.S.-Rafiganj, District-Aurangabad.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Satya Veer

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Murlidhar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2 09-11-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in Rafiganj P.S. Case No. 111/2017 instituted for the offences under Sections 147, 341, 323, 325 and 307 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against the petitioner is that he assaulted the informant causing injury on her head. The doctor has found three injuries on the person of the informant, out of which opinion regarding injury no. 1 i.e., lacerated wound on left forearm and injury no. 3 i.e., one ecchymosed swelling on right clavical region has been kept reserved. Injury no. 2 is said to be simple injury, which is evident from Annexure-2 (Series).

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is allowed. In the



event of surrender/arrest of the petitioner, named above, within six weeks from today, in connection with Rafiganj P.S. Case No. 111/2017, he shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Aurangabad, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioner and (3) if petitioner tamper with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Priya, J.)

Rakhi

U		T	
---	--	---	--

