

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

**Letters Patent Appeal No.665 of 2015
IN
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 470 of 2015**

=====

Devendra Prasad son of Late Kashi Das resident of Village- Pakari Barama, P.S. -
Pakari Barama, District- Nawada

.... Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Secretary , Food , Supply and Commerce Department , Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Commissioner, Magadh Division, Gaya.
3. The Collector , Nawada
4. The SDO , Nawada.
5. The BSO, Pakari Barama, Nawada.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Vijay Anand

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Rakesh Ambastha, AC to AAG-7

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI)

Date: 26-07-2017

The omission on the part of the appellant is evident from the narration of the facts taken note of by learned Single Judge. The appellant has chosen not to fight for his right for a cancelled licence for a long period. The revisional order was also passed against him in the year 2008, but he decides to prefer writ application in the year 2015.



These are good grounds for the Court to refuse exercise of discretion when there is obvious omission on the part of a litigant.

There is no merit in the appeal. The same is dismissed.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

Arvind/-

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	28.07.2017
Transmission Date	

