

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14319 of 2015

=====

Reema Devi, Wife of Sri Rakesh Singh, Resident of Village : - Parasar, P.S. :
- Karakat, District : - Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Collector, District - Rohtas, Sasaram.
3. The Assistant Excise Commissioner, Rohtas, Sasaram.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ravi Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Lalit Kishore, A G
		Mr. Bishwa Bibhuti Kumar Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 04-09-2017

During the pendency of the matter, the prayer made by the petitioner for refund of the license fee and other benefits have been rejected vide order dated 21.12.2016 (Annexure-A) to the supplementary counter affidavit by the Assistant Commissioner (Excise), Rohtas and against the aforesaid order, the petitioner has statutory remedy of appeal or can challenge by filing a writ application before the appropriate Bench after exhausting the statutory remedy of appeal.

Keeping in view the aforesaid, we grant liberty to the petitioner to take recourse to the statutory remedy available. In case the petitioner invokes the statutory remedy of appeal within a



reasonable period of time, the Statutory authority shall consider and decide the appeal on merit and shall not reject it on the ground of delay.

With the aforesaid liberty, the petition stands disposed of.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Sunil/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	08.09.2017
Transmission Date	

