

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

**Letters Patent Appeal No.439 of 2015
Arising out of
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 7143 of 2014**

=====

Sangita Kumari wife of Sri Indradeo Sharma R/o Village Rampur Bakhtaur @
Godiha Bahadurpur, P.O. + P.S. Goraul, Dist. Vaishali.

.... Petitioner / Appellant

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Secretary, Social Welfare, Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Director, Social Welfare, Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. Commissioner, Tirhut Division, Muzaffarpur Distt. Muzaffarpur.
5. Collector Vaishali.
6. Deputy Development Commissioner, Vaishali.
7. District Programme Officer, Vaishali.
8. Child Development Project Officer, Goraul, Vaishali.
9. Panchayat Sachiv, Gram Panchayat Raj Katarmala, P.S. Goraul, Dist. Vaishali.
10. Mukhia, Gram Panchayat, Katarmala, P.S. Goraul, Dist. Vaishali.
11. Renu Sharma W/o Sri Braj Kishore Sharma @ Brijnandan Thakur R/o Village
Godia, Bahadurpur, P.S. goraul, Dist- Vaishali.

.... Respondents / Respondents

=====

Appearance:

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Mahendra Prasad No. 2 and
Mr. Rewti Kant Raman, Advocate.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Uday Prasad, AC to GP 22.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD**

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI)

Date: 11-07-2017

Heard counsel for the appellant and counsel for the State.

The appeal is dismissed. There is no infirmity with the
order of the learned Single Judge because a decision for engagement
on the post of Anganwadi Sevika taken more than seven years ago
cannot be reopened and looked into at the convenience of the
appellant on a spacious plea that he has been writing letters and



raising grievances before the diverse authorities without following the guidelines laid down against such grievances of selection or non-selection.

Since the post in question is not a permanent post under the State but is a contractual engagement that is all the more reason why the learned Single Judge was correct in dismissing the Writ Application to which even we subscribe the view.

The appeal is dismissed being devoid of merit.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Dilip, AR

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	12.07.2017
Transmission Date	N/A

