

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14034 of 2015

=====

Urmila Kumari Wife of Surendra Kumar Singh Resident of village -
Ibrahimpur, P.O. Harpur, P.S. and Via - Baniyapur, District - Saran at
Chapra

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The District Education officer, Siwan
3. The District Superintendent of Education, Siwan
4. The District Programme officer (Establishment), Department of
Education, Siwan
5. The Block Education Extension officer, Barharia, District - Siwan
6. The Head Assistant in the office of Block Education officer, Barharia,
Siwan
7. The Accountant General, Bihar, Patna

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Arvind Kumar Verma, Advocate

For the Respondent State: Mr. Anil Kumar Sinha, GA-1

For the Respondent A.G. : Mr. Binod Kumar Labh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI
ORAL ORDER

3 06-07-2017 The District Programme Officer (Establishment) is

given freedom to verify the authenticity of the reason for delay

offered by the Headmaster, who is the drawing and disbursing

officer.

If the plea put up for non-release and delay for

almost two years caused therein is not found satisfactory, the

interest component, which is being now paid to the petitioner for

delay by the State, will be recovered from the Headmaster of the

school in question after giving him an opportunity of hearing.

Counsel for the State assures this Court that



statutory interest up till the date of payment will be released in favour of the petitioner sooner than later. The main component of payment has already been paid as it emerges from the affidavit and the evidence on record.

This writ application stands disposed of.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

Pawan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

