

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Letters Patent Appeal No.409 of 2016

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 16011 of 2008

=====

Ajeet Kumar Singh @ Ajeet Kumar son of Shri Saheb Dayal Singh resident of village Dharmagat Parasi, P.S. + Anchal Karakat, District Rohtas.

.... Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary Land Reforms Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Collector, Rohtas.
3. The Sub Divisional Officer, Bikramganj, Rohtas.
4. The Land Reforms Deputy Collector, Bikramganj, Rohtas.
5. The Circle Officer, Karkat, Rohtas.
6. Haibansh Narayan Tiwari son of Late Indrajeet Tiwari resident of Gorakha Parasi, Anchal Karakat, P.S. Karakat, District Rohtas.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Subash Kumar, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR
UPADHYAY**

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

7 21-06-2017

In the matter of settlement of land in favour of the appellant, the learned writ Court having found the decree in favour of the respondent No.6, the writ petitioner, in Title Suit No. 80 of 1976/163 of 1979, remanded the matter back to the competent authority for re-consideration and in doing so, we are of the considered view that no error has been committed by the writ Court warranting reconsideration. The appellant should appear before the competent authority where the matter is to be



adjudicated and raise all objections as are admissible in law before the said authority.

In view of the remand order, we are not inclined to interfere in the matter.

The appeal is dismissed.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

S.Pandey/-

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

U			
---	--	--	--

