

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.4569 of 2014

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 3143 of 2013

=====

1. M/S Ram Janki Construction through Rekha Devi wife of Lal Babu Singh, Proprietor Of M/S Ram Janki Construction (Proprietor), Stadium Road, Ward No. 20, District - Madhubani.

2. Lal Babu Singh (Ex - Proprietor) M/S Ram Janki Construction, Stadium Road, Ward No. 20

Both R/O Village & P.O. - Dullipatti, P.S. - Jay Nagar, District - Madhubani, (Bihar).

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through its Chief Secretary Patna namely Anjani Kumar Singh.

2. The Principal Secretary namely Mr. Chanchal kumar Building Construction Department, Bhawan Nirman Bibhag (Vishwesharya Bhawan), Bihar, Patna

3. The Secretary, namely Mr. Chanchal Kumar Building Construction Department, Bhawan Nirman Vibhag (Vishwesharya Bhawan), Bihar, Patna

4. The Engineer In Chief namely Mr. Gopal Prasad , Building Construction Department, Bhawan Nirman Vibhag, Bihar, Patna

5. The Chief Engineer (North Zone) namely Mr. Naveen Kumar, Building Construction Department, Bhawan Nirman Vibhag, (Vishwesharya Bhawan), Patna.

6. District Magistrate, Madhubani namely Mr. Giribar Dayal Singh.

7. The Superintending Engineer, namely Prabhat Kumar, Building Construction Department, Building Circle, Darbhanga

8. Executive Engineer namely Ram Ekabal Panjear, Building Construction Department, Building Division, Madhubani

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Yogendra Kumar Singh

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Neelu Agrwal

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. ANJANA MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

4 21-07-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and

learned counsel for the State.

Learned counsel for the State points to paragraph -

6 of the supplementary show cause which goes to show that the



sanction of the residual amount has been made and payments thereof shall be made available to the petitioner within a fortnight. It, thus, appears that the order under contempt has been complied with.

Accordingly, the contempt application stands disposed of.

It will, however, be open for the petitioners, if so advised, to come with a fresh affidavit to re-open the present contempt application in case any grievance remains pending.

(Anjana Mishra, J)

Jagdish/-

U			
---	--	--	--

