

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.596 of 2014

=====

1. Indrika Devi W/O Late Amarnath Mishra R/O Mohalla Lal Bagh, P.S. & District Darbhanga.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Vice Chancellor, Kameshwar Singh Darbhanga Sanskrit University, Darbhanga Namely Dev Narayan Jha.
2. The Registrar, Kameshwar Singh Darbhanga Sanskrit University, Darbhanga Namely Dr. Sudhir Kumar Chaudhary.
3. The Finance Officer, Kameshwar Singh Darbhanga Sanskrit University, Darbhanga Namely Kedar Prasad Singh.
4. The Financial Advisor, Kameshwar Singh Darbhanga Sanskrit University, Darbhanga Namely Rakesh Kumar Mehta.
5. The Principal, Umesh Sanskrit College, Tarauni Namely Ashok Kr. Azad.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :

For the State :

For University :

Mr. Siddharth Shankar Pandey, AC to SC-28

Mr. Awadhesh Pd. Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 05-07-2017

None is present for the applicant. Shri Awadhesh Prasad Singh, learned counsel appearing for the University and Shri Siddharth Shankar Pandey, Learned counsel appearing for the State are present.

The application was filed in the year 2014 and ever since filing of the application none has appeared on behalf of the applicant. When the matter was taken up on 23.5.2017, none had appeared for the applicant, but learned counsel for the University informed this



Court that he has not received a copy of the M.J.C. due to which he is unable to file show cause.

Today also when the matter is taken up, none is present for the applicant. A perusal of the order passed by the learned Writ Court on 19.8.2013 in C.W.J.C. No.7056 of 2013 goes to show that the writ petition was disposed of with a direction to the University to examine the claim of the petitioner and all admissible retiral dues were to be paid and the issue decided. Today when the matter is taken up, both the learned counsel for the State and the learned counsel for the University are unable to make any statement as the copies of the contempt application and the documents have not been supplied to them.

Taking note of the situation and the fact that the matter is pending since 2014 and the applicant and his counsel are absent, this application is disposed of with the following directions:

In case the petitioner has any grievance still subsisting and in case the claim has not been settled in spite of the order dated 19.8.2013, on the petitioner filing a certified copy of this order along with relevant documents, the University shall take action in the matter in accordance with the order dated 19.8.2013 and communicate the decision to the petitioner if action has already been taken, otherwise it shall be incumbent upon the University to take



action as directed by the learned Writ Court within two months from the date that the claim is made, to the petitioner.

With the aforesaid, this application is disposed of.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

K.C.jha/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	15.7.2017
Transmission Date	N/A

