

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.4615 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -1233 Year- 2007 Thana -ROHTAS COMPLAINT CASE District-
SASARAM (ROHTAS)

- =====
1. LAKSHAMI KANT BHARATIYA @LAKSHMI KANT BHARTI
 2. Sudarsan Kant Bharti
 3. Sudam Kant Bharti @ Pursotam All 3 are sons of Ram Janam Prasad Chaudhary
 4. Ram Janam Prasad Chaudhary Son of Budhram Chaudhary
 5. Lalmuni Devi @ Gogo Devi Wife of Ramjanam Prasad Chaudhary.
 6. Suman @ Supriya Bharti daughter of Ram Janam Prasad Chaudhary All 1 to 6 are resident of Vill - Purani Shar Ward No- 8 P.S Daudnagar Distt- Aurangabad.
 7. Sujata @ Joyti bharti Wife of Binod Chaudhary Resident of vill- Sahar, P.S- Sahar Distt -Bhojpur(Aara).

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Reshmi Bharti Wife of Lakshmi Kant Bharti Resident of Mohalla - Khilanganj P.S + P.O - Sasaram Nagar Distt - Rohtas.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Pandey, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mustaque Alam(App)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA KUMAR MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

2 23-01-2017 Heard.

This application, under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure , has been filed for quashing the order dated 16.03.2013 passed in Criminal Revision No. 72 of 2013 passed by the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge-IV dismissing aforesaid Criminal Revision of the petitioners filed against the order dated 16.03.2013 of the Court of learned Sub Divisional



Judicial Magistrate, Sasaram rejecting the application under Section 339 Cr. P. C. for discharge arriving at conclusion that there is sufficient material to frame the charge.

On going through the impugned order, it appears that the learned Sessions Judge-IV, Sasaram dismissed the revision of petitioner preferred against the order dated 16.03.2013 of the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Sasaram rejecting the application for discharge that there is sufficient material for framing charge under Section 498 (A) Indian Penal and Section 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act. I find no illegality, accordingly, this application is dismissed.

(Rajendra Kumar Mishra, J)

Sudha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

