

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Criminal Miscellaneous No.50551 of 2017**

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -172 Year- 2017 Thana -TRIVENIGANJ District- SUPAUL

=====

1. Amrendra Yadav @ Amrendra Kumar, son of Bhumi Yadav, resident of  
village- Daparkha, Police Station- Triveniganj, District- Supaul.

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... .... Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Umeshnand Pandit, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3/ 15-11-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

APP for the State.

Petitioner apprehends his arrest in **Triveniganj P.S.**

**Case No.172 of 2017** instituted for the offence under Section(s)  
342, 379, 386/34 Indian Penal Code.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that one Raj  
Kishore Yadav has been arrested on the basis of identification by  
the Informant. He disclosed the name of this petitioner.

From the written report itself, it is clear that  
petitioner is not named in the First Information Report. There is  
no recovery from the possession of the petitioner.

Counsel for the State after looking into the case  
diary has submitted that there is no recovery from the possession  
of this petitioner.



In the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer of the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail is allowed. In the event of surrender/arrest of the petitioner, named above, within six weeks from today in connection with **Triveniganj P.S. Case No.172 of 2017**, he shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of ₹10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the **Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, 1<sup>st</sup>, Supaul**, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr. P. C. with further conditions: (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioner and (3) if petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

JA/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

