

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4565 of 2016

- =====
1. Ranjan Kumar, Son of Nityanand Prasad
 2. Ramesh Yadav, Son of Late Dangi Yadav
 3. Chandeshwar Narayan Singh @ Narendra Pratap Singh, Son of Late Raj Mangal Singh.
 4. Bimla Devi, wife of Dharmnath Prasad
 5. Sukhi Ram, S/o Late Chunni Ram.

All Petitioner no. 1 to 5 R/o Village- Sihpur, Post- Sihpur, P.S- Raxaul,
District- E. Champaran

.... Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Chief Secretary, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department of Home Bihar, Patna.
4. The Director, Land Acquisition, Bihar, Patna.
5. The Joint Secretary, Department of Home, Bihar, Patna.
6. The Collector, Motihari.
7. The Land Acquisition Officer, Motihari.
8. The Union of India through the Ministry of Home Affairs, Govt. of India through its Secretary, North Block New Delhi.
9. The Secretary, Border Management Ministry of Home affairs, Government of India, North Block, New Delhi.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioners	:	Mallika Mazumdar, Adv. Mr. Prem Kumar, Adv.
For the Union of India	:	Mr. R.K. Sharma, CGC Mr. A.K. Pandey, SCGC
For the State	:	Mr. AAG-9

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT KUMAR
SRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER**



2 18-03-2017

This matter has been listed out of turn on the basis of mentioning slip filed on behalf of the petitioners.

Heard the parties.

The grievance of the petitioners is that lands of some other persons including petitioners were acquired but compensation was not paid equally to petitioners and thereafter, they filed representation before the competent authority but the representation of the petitioners was not disposed of.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in CWJC No. 13252 of 2013, a co-ordinate Bench of this Court gave liberty to petitioner of that writ petition to file representation before the competent authority and the competent authority was directed to examine and dispose of the matter in accordance with law. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that this petition may also be disposed of in view of order passed in CWJC No. 13252 of 2013.

Accordingly, this writ petition stands disposed of giving direction to respondent no. 7 (Land Acquisition Officer, Motihari) to dispose of the representation of the petitioners in accordance with law within six weeks from the date of receipt/production of copy of this order. However, it is made clear that if the representation of the petitioners is found missing, the



petitioners may file fresh representation before the respondent no.
7 within two weeks from the date of receipt/production of copy of
this order.

(Hemant Kumar Srivastava, J)

SHAHZAD/-

U			
---	--	--	--

