

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.38049 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -2607 Year- 2015 Thana -KATIHAR COMPLAINT CASE District-
KATIHAR

=====

SK. TULLU @ SK. TULLY, Son of Late SK. Manjur, resident of village-
Bairiya Nasik Tola, P.S. Amdabad, District- Katihar.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Bibi Amina Khatoon, Wife of SK. Tullu, daughter of SK. Aasim,
resident of Village- Raghunathpur Kewala, P.S. Amdabad, District-
Katihar.

.... Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Bimal Kumar, Advocate.

For the State : Mr. Md. Sufiyan, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 10-08-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection
with Complaint Case No. 2607/2015 for the offences instituted
under Sections 498(A) of the IPC and 4 of the D.P. Act.

The prosecution story, in brief, is that the accused
persons including the petitioner tortured the complainant due to
non-fulfilment of demand of dowry.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the
petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. There is no allegation of
tampering with the witnesses alleged against the petitioner. He has



falsely been implicated in the present case due to petty family dispute. The case is triable by the Magistrate. The petitioner has further relied upon the judgment of this Court in the case of Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar, reported in 2006(3) PLJR 182.

On behalf of the State, it has been submitted that the petitioner is named in the complaint case.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner above named, be released on bail in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of six weeks from today in connection with Complaint Case No. 2607/2015 on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/-(Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned S.D.J.M. Katihar, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

U.K./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

(Sudhir Singh, J)

