

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2230 of 2017

=====

Mamta Kumari, daughter of Late Naresh Harijan, resident of village -
Cheful, P.O. Cheful, P.S. Manjhi, District - Saran (Bihar)

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Secretary - Cum - The Director General,
Department of Posts, Dak Bhawan, New Delhi
2. The Chief Post Master General, Bihar Circle, G.P.O. Complex, Patna
3. The Assistant Director (Staff & Recruitment), Office of the Chief Post
Master General, G.P.O. Complex, Patna
4. The Senior Superintendent of Post offices, Saran Division, Chapra,
District - Saran (Bihar)

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Anjani Kumar Sharan, ASG

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 11-08-2017 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

This is a misconceived writ petition. The proper forum for the petitioner lay before the Central Administrative Tribunal where she rightly moved O.A.No. 589/2013 for the reliefs claimed herein as well as for other reliefs. Her original application was disposed of by the Lok Adalat so constituted requiring disposal of O.A. by the authority concerned by treating as a representation.

The grievance of the petitioner is that although the Chief Accounts Officer has settled the retrial dues but no order has been passed on the issue of compassionate appointment.

In my opinion, any order passed by the Department of Posts, which is not to the satisfaction of the petitioner, has to be



first questioned before the Central Administrative Tribunal which has exclusively jurisdiction in the matter concerning the Central Government employees and obviously this would also include the issue of compassionate appointment. The petitioner, if so advised, may take legal course so available to her in law.

The writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

Surendra/-

U			
---	--	--	--

