

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.52518 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -1510 Year- 2015 Thana -ROHTAS COMPLAINT CASE District -
SASARAM (ROHTAS)

=====

Rajendra Singh

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

State of Bihar & Anr

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Jagdhar Prasad

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

3 14-11-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner apprehends arrest in Complaint Case No. 1510 of 2015 instituted for the offence under Section-420 of the Indian Penal Code.

It has been submitted that there is allegation that accused persons assembled at the Darwaza of this petitioner for sale and purchase of the paddy.

In the written report, there is specific allegation against co-accused Niladri Gupta of issuing cheque of Rs. 102200/- which was deposited by the informant in the bank for encashment but the same was dishonoured due to insufficiency of the fund.

In such circumstances, prayer for anticipatory bail is allowed and it is ordered that the petitioner named above in the event of his arrest or surrender in the court below within six weeks from the date of receipt/production of copy of this order, shall be released on



bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each in connection with in Complaint Case No. 1510 of 2015 to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sasaram, Rohtas subject to condition as laid down u/S 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason, will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioner and (3) if petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

A.K.V./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

