

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**

**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.906 of 2016**

=====

Rohit Raj Son of Manoj Kumar Chaudhary resident of 5/60 Officers Flat Bailey Road, Patna, P.S. - Kotwali, District - Patna through father Manoj Kumar Chaudhary under guardianship.

.... .... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Secretary of Planning and Development, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Secretary, Central Board of Secondary Education 2, Community Centre, Preet Vihar, Delhi - 110301.
3. The Controller of Examination Central Board of Secondary Education 2, Community Centre, Preet Vihar, Delhi - 110301.
4. The Principal, International School, 61, South New Patliputra Colony, Patna - 800013.
5. The Principal, D.A.V. School, Khagaul, Patna.

.... .... Respondents

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner : Mr. Binod Kumar, Advocate  
For the State : Mr. Suman Kumar Jha, AC to AAG-3  
For the CBSE : Mr. Vinay Krishna Tripathy, Advocate

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH**  
**ORAL JUDGMENT**

**Date: 04-04-2017**

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the Central Board of Secondary Education and learned counsel for the State.

The petitioner passed 10<sup>th</sup> Examination in the year 2013, conducted by Central Board of Secondary Education (for brevity the “CBSE”). He has passed 12<sup>th</sup> Examination in the year 2015. His date of birth in the certificate issued by the CBSE has been mentioned as 10.11.1996. Petitioner’s case is that his correct date of birth is 10.11.1998, and 10.11.1996 has wrongly been mentioned in the certificates, because of some



fault at the stage of submission of form, as 10.11.1996.

The petitioner, accordingly, seeks direction to the CBSE to make correct entry of his date of birth in the said two certificates.

On careful examination of the pleadings on record, I find that there is nothing specific on record to show that after 10<sup>th</sup> examination was declared in the year 2013, the petitioner raised any grievance against entry of his date of birth. There is no application or representation brought on record in this regard on behalf of the petitioner. The petitioner is said to have made a representation through his parents, as has been stated in paragraph 10 of the application. The said statement is vague, inasmuch as neither any date has been mentioned nor the representation has been brought on record.

In the background of the facts taken note of as above, and in view of the pleadings, no relief, as sought for on behalf of the petitioner, can be allowed.

Accordingly, this application stands dismissed as such.

**(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J.)**

*Rajeev/-*

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

