

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15271 of 2014

- =====
1. Arvind Kumar, Son of Sri Panna Lal Roy, Resident of Mohalla - Surya Bihar Colony, Behind Poddar Tiles Factor, Bailey Road, Danapur, P.S. - Rapaspur, District - Patna.
 2. Braj Kishore Prasad, Son of Sri Ram Rup Narayan, Resident of Mohalla - Chak Musallahpur, P.S. - Kadamkuan, District - Patna.
 3. Aseem Kumar Thakur, Son of Late Chandra Bhushan Thakur, Resident of Village & P.S. - Jadia, District- Supaul.
 4. Govind Kumar Ray, Son of Mahadeo Prasad Ray, Resident of Village - Horilganj, P.S. & District- Jehanabad.
 5. Preeti Kumari, Daughter of Ghanshyam Prasad, Resident of Mohalla - Kunnu Lal Road, Mithapur, P.S. - Jakkanpur, District - Patna.
 6. Kauleshwar Mandal, Son of Late Ajit Lal Mandal, Resident of Village - Rajpur, P.S. - Chauhatta, District - Supaul.
 7. Tulsi Das Mahto, Son of Late Baij Nath Mahto, Resident of Mohalla - Hardagh, Police Station - Silli, District- Ranchi.

.... Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Human Resources, New Secretariat, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Human Resources, New Secretariat, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Secondary Education, Budh Marg, Patna - 1.
4. The Staff Selection Commission, through its Secretary, Vishwashabraiya Bhawan, Patna, Bihar.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioners : Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Advocate.

For the Respondents : Mr. Roy Shivaji Nath, Advocate.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 01-11-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for the respondents.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that the case of the petitioners is squarely covered by the judgment passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court in S.L.P. (C) No(s). 6369-



6376/2005 dated 28.08.2012.

Considering the submissions made on behalf of the petitioners, the present writ application stands disposed of in terms of the judgment passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court in S.L.P. (C) No(s). 6369-6376/2005 dated 28.08.2012.

If the petitioners are found identical to the petitioners of S.L.P. (C) No (s). 6369-6376/2005, in that case the respondents will be obliged to extend the benefits to petitioners as per Clause 4.C(1) of the State Litigation Policy within a period of six months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

U.K./-

U			
---	--	--	--

(Sudhir Singh, J)

