

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.44863 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -60 Year- 2017 Thana -MAKHDUMPUR District- JEHANABAD

=====

Sintu Kumar, Son of Upendra Yadav, Residents of Village- Kayamganj,
P.S.- Makhdumpur, District- Jehanabad.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Umesh Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Khurshid Anwar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

4 09-11-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Makhdumpur P.S. Case No. 60 of 2017 registered under
Sections 341, 342, 323, 325, 307, 354A, 504, 34 of the I.P.C. and
Section 8 of the POCSO Act pending in the Court of 1st Additional
Sessions Judge, Jehanabad.

Submission of learned counsel for the petitioner is
that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and has committed no
offence as alleged in the F.I.R. Injuries are found simple in nature
caused by hard and blunt substance.

Learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State
opposed the prayer of the petitioner with submission that there is



direct allegation against the petitioners and witnesses have also supported the prosecution version. Injuries are found on the vital part of the injured persons. Hence, he does not deserve anticipatory bail.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and nature of the allegation, I am not inclined to allow the prayer of the petitioner for anticipatory bail. Accordingly, this application is rejected.

(Arvind Srivastava, J)

Shailendra/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

