

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16599 of 2007

=====

Ram Anugrah Narayan Singh, son of Mugheshwar Singh, resident of village-
Shomekhap, P.S. Barun, P.O. Jhumerdihra, District- Aurangabad

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Secretary-cum-Commissioner, Forest and Environment
Department, Bihar, Patna
3. The Principal Chief Conservator of Forest, Bihar, Patna
4. The Forest Conservator, Gaya Division, Gaya
5. The Divisional Forest Officer, Gaya Division, Gaya

.... Respondents

=====

With

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16860 of 2007

=====

Amrit Yadav, son of Pachu Yadav, resident of village- Nawadih, P.S.
Dobhi, P.O. Bajaura, District- Gaya

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Secretary-cum-Commissioner, Forest and Environment
Department, Bihar, Patna
3. The Principal Chief Conservator of Forest, Bihar, Patna
4. The Forest Conservator, Gaya Division, Gaya
5. The Divisional Forest Officer, Gaya Division, Gaya

.... Respondents

=====

With

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16765 of 2007

=====

Niranjan Kumar, son of Babulal Prasad, resident of village- Bithosharif,
P.S. Chandauti, P.O. Bithorsharif, District- Gaya

.... Petitioner

Versus

- 1.
2. The Secretary-cum-Commissioner, Forest and Environment
Department, Bihar, Patna
3. The Principal Chief Conservator of Forest, Bihar, Patna
4. The Forest Conservator, Gaya Division, Gaya
5. The Divisional Forest Officer, Gaya Division, Gaya

.... Respondent/s

=====

With

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17038 of 2007

=====

Yogendra Paswan, son of Rambhajan Paswan, resident of village- Dhamani,
P.S. Raushanganj, P.O. Raushanganj, District- Gaya

.... Petitioner

Versus



1. The State of Bihar
2. The Secretary-cum-Commissioner, Forest and Environment Department, Bihar, Patna
3. The Principal Chief Conservator of Forest, Bihar, Patna
4. The Forest Conservator, Gaya Division, Gaya
5. The Divisional Forest Officer, Gaya Division, Gaya
- Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

(In CWJC No.16599 of 2007)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shailesh Kumar

For the Respondent/s : Mr. (Gp16)

(In CWJC No.16860 of 2007)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shailesh Kumar

For the Respondent/s : Mr. AC to SC-19

(In CWJC No.16765 of 2007)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shailesh Kumar

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sita Ram Yadav, GP-16

(In CWJC No.17038 of 2007)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shailesh Kumar

For the Respondent/s : Mr. JC to GP-14

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

7 06-03-2017 In all the aforesaid writ petitions, in sum and substance, a prayer was made for regularizing the services of the petitioners, who were obviously functioning as daily-wagers.

The Court is of the opinion that while exercising power under Article-226 of the Constitution of India, it would be difficult for issuance of such direction.

However, after some argument, learned counsel for the petitioners, in presence of learned State Counsels, makes a prayer for disposal of all the aforesaid writ petitions, so that the petitioners may avail appropriate remedy.

As prayed, all the aforesaid writ petitions stand



disposed of with liberty as indicated above.

(Rakesh Kumar, J)

NKS/-

U			
---	--	--	--

