

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1228 of 2014

Arising out of

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 632 of 2014

- =====
1. Anjani Kumar Sinha, Son of Late Dineshwari Prasad Sinha, Resident of P.I.T. Colony, Quarter No. L2/28 Chitraguptanagar, Kankarbagh, Patna- 20
 2. Ramdeo Chaudhary Son of Late Ram Swaroop Chaudhary Resident of Rental Flat No. 60, Kankarbagh Colony, Patna- 20
 3. Ravindra Kumar, Son of Kadai Sah, Resident of Village- Jivajore, Post- Chandwara, District- Muzaffarpur
 4. Shri Bhola Das, Son of Late Gyani Das, Resident of Village- Arwa, Post- Bachhwara, District- Begusarai
 5. Chandra Mohan Tiwary, Son of Late Kedar Nath Tiwary, Resident of Village- Rampur Dudhpura, Via- Samastipur, District- Samastipur

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Sri Partheyay Amrit The Secretary, Road Construction Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
2. Sri Madan Prasad, The Deputy Secretary, Road Construction Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
3. Sri Deo Narayan Prasad, The Engineer-in-Chief N.H. Road Construction Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
4. Sri Kedar Baitha, The Chief Engineer N.H. Road Construction Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
5. Sri Satyendra Narayan Singh, The Superintending Engineer, N.H. Work Circle, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
6. Sri Om Prakash Singh, The Executive Engineer N.H. West Division, Patna
7. Sri Rameshwar Singh, The Secretary, Finance Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
8. Sri Adesh Titarmare, The Director, Provident Fund, Pant Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna
9. Sri Sanjeev Singh, The Deputy Director, G.P.F. Patna

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :

For the Respondent/s :

Mr. Anjani Kumar, AAG-4

Mr. Amit Kumar Jha, A.C. to AAG-4

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 10-05-2017

Keeping in view the show-cause filed by the respondents

indicating allotment of G.P.F. account to the petitioners and further



action in compliance with the order passed in the writ petition now no further action is required to be taken in the matter.

The contempt application is disposed of. In case petitioners have any grievance still subsisting, they may challenge the same afresh in accordance with law.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

P.K.P.

AFR/NAFR	N.A.F.R.
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	12.05.2017
Transmission Date	

