

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.38630 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -60 Year- 2017 Thana -CHAKAND District- GAYA

- =====
1. Suresh Yadav, Son of Rupu Yadav.
 2. Chinta Devi Wife of Suresh Yadav,
- Both Resident of Village- Bahadur Bigha, P.S. Chakand, District- Gaya.

.... Petitioner/s

i. Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Rajesh Yadav, S/o Babu Lal Yadav, Resident of Village- Nima Tola, Chorha, P.S.- Bodh Gaya, Dist.- Gaya.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Umesh Lal Verma, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2 22-08-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the State.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest in Chakand P.S. Case No. 60 of 2017 instituted for the offence under Sections 304(B), 201, 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3/4 of Dowry Prohibition Act.

It has been submitted that petitioners are parents-in-law of the deceased. The husband is already in custody.

From the written report it appears that there is general and omnibus allegation against these petitioners.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is allowed. In the



event of surrender/arrest of the petitioners, named above, within six weeks from today, in connection with Chakand P.S. Case No. 60 of 2017, they shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gaya, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr. P.C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioners shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioners and (3) if petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioners.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

S.Ali/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

