

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 20655 of 2013

=====

Sujata Kumari D/O Sri Jowasar Matho And Wife Of Sri Om Prakash Prasad
Resident Of Village - Dhanama, P.S. - Chandradeep, Distt Jamui At Present Village
- Phulchorh, P.S. - Ariyari, Distt. Shekhpura

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through The Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
2. The Director, Primary Education, Govt. Of Bihar
3. The District Magistrate, Sheikhpura
4. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Shekhpura
5. The District Teacher Employment Appellate Authority through its Member, Sheikhpura
6. The District Programmed Officer, Shekhpura
7. The Block Development Officer, Ariyari, P.O. + P.S. - Ariyari, District - Shekhpura
8. The Block Education Extension Officer, Ariyari, P.O. And P.S. - Ariyari, Distt - Shekhpura
9. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj Aifani, P.S. - Ariyari, District - Shekhpura
10. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj - Aifani, P.S. - Ariyari, Distt - Shekhpura
11. Jailendra Kumar Gupta Son Of Fakira Sahu R/O Village + P.O. Aifani, P.S. - Ariyari, District - Shekhpura

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Prakash Mahto, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. S. K. Mandal, SC 3 with Mr. Arjun Prasad, AC to SC 3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 07-03-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner has moved the Court against the order
contained in memo No. 104 dated 27.08.2013 passed by the District



Teachers Employment Appellate Authority, Sheikhpura (hereinafter referred to as the 'Authority') in Appeal No. 03 of 2013 (arising out of Appeal No. 235 of 2009).

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the same order was challenged before this Court by other affected persons in C.W.J.C. No. 19201 of 2013 (Renu Kumari & Ors Vs. The State of Bihar & Ors.) which was dismissed by order dated 26.11.2013. However, the same was the subject matter of L.P.A. No. 63 of 2014 which has been allowed by judgment dated 01.09.2016 and the order impugned has been set aside with a direction that the appellants be reinstated with liberty to the Authority to examine the records either by way of reconstruction or other attending circumstances.

Learned counsel for the State does not dispute the position.

In view thereof, the writ petition stands disposed off in the same terms as is order dated 01.09.2016 passed in L.P.A. No. 63 of 2014.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J)

Anjani/-

AFR/NAFR	
U	

