

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2900 of 2011

=====

M/S Bharat Petroleum Corporation Ltd., a Company in corporate under the Companies Act, having its registered office at Bharat Bhawan, 4 & 6 Currimbhoy Road, Ballard Estate, Mumbai, through its constituted Attorney and Territory Manager (Retail), Shri P.M. Jha, at Patna Territory Office, Pakri, Via Anisabad, P.S. Phulwarisharif, District - Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. Dr. K.P. Ramaiah son of not known The Divisional Commissioner, Patna.
3. Mr. Sanjay Singh son of not known The Collector-cum-District Magistrate, Patna.
4. Mr. Jitendra Prasad Sinha son of not known the then District Magistrate, Patna through Divisional Commissioner, Patna.
5. Mr. Alok Kumar son of not known at present Senior Superintendent of Police, Patna.
6. Mr. Vineet Vinayak son of not known the then Senior Superintendent of Police, Patna through Divisional Commissioner, Patna.
7. Mr. Harihar Prasad son of not known District Transport Officer, Patna.
8. Mr. Ramakant Prasad son of not known the then Officer-in-charge Kankarbagh Police Station, Patna at present Dy. S.P. (Town), Patna.
9. Mr. Balram Prasad son of not known the Officer-in-charge, Kankarbagh Police Station, Patna.
10. Mr. Ramanand Prasad Singh son of not known Chairman, Assotech Ramanand Health Care Pvt. Ltd.
11. Dr. Sanjeev Kumar son of not known Director, Assotech Ramanand Health Care Pvt. Ltd. Both residents of H - 2 Doctors Colony, Kankarbagh, P.S. - Kankarbagh, District - Patna.
12. Mr. Navendu Kumar Thakur son of Tejendu Kumar Thakur General Manager, Assotech Ramanand Health Care Pvt. Ltd. resident of Y - 10 Ashiyana Nagar, P.S. - Ashiyana Nagar, District - Patna.
13. Mr. Sanjeev Srivastava son of not known Managing Director, Assotech Ramanand Health Care Pvt. Ltd., resident of 148F Mayur Vihar, Phase - I, New Delhi - 110092.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mrs. Binita Singh, Advocate
Mr. S. S. Pandey, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
ORAL ORDER

7 30-06-2017

Due to certain subsequent developments that have



taken place after disposal of the earlier writ petition and in the changed circumstances, now it is not appropriate to initiate contempt proceedings against the respondents, rather it would be appropriate to grant liberty to the petitioner to challenge the same in accordance with law.

Granting aforesaid liberty to the petitioner, the matter stands disposed of.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

Sunil/-

U			
---	--	--	--

