

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1033 of 2014

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18812 of 2012

=====

Kanhaiya Prasad son of late Gauri Shankar Prasad, Resident of Mohalla-
Maulabagh, P.S. Ara Nawada, Dist- Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Bihar State Land Development Bank Through The Managing Director, Budh Marg, Patna Sri Binod Prasad
2. Managing Director, Bihar State Land Development Bank Budh Marg, Patna (Mr. B. Prasad)
3. The Chairman, Bihar State Land Development Bank, Budh Marg, Patna Sri Vijay Kr. Singh
4. The Deputy Managing Director, Finance, Bihar State Land Development Bank Budh Marg, Patna Sri Gopal Saran
5. The Commissioner Of Employeeed, Provident Fund Organisation, R. Block Patna Sri S.N. Singh

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajendra Nath Sinha, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Amrendra Narayan Rai, Adv

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 29-11-2017

While disposing of C.W.J.C. No.18812 of 2012 on
16.7.2013 the following directions were issued by this Court:

"Having considered the facts and circumstances of
the case, this writ application is disposed of with a direction to the
respondent Bihar State Land Development Bank to pay the
admissible dues of the petitioner in accordance with law
preferably within six months. Similarly, the respondent Bihar
State Beverage Corporation would send the details of the
provident fund contribution and deduction in prescribed form in
respect of the petitioner to the Provident Fund department."

Learned counsel representing the Provident Fund
Organization admits that the entire financial dues have been paid



to the petitioner. As far as the Bihar State Land Development Bank is concerned, learned Counsel for the Bank produces before me a cheque of Rs.56,244/- drawn in the name of the petitioner. The same is handed over to the learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner disputes the amount paid and argues that certain amount pertaining to the Leave Encashment etc. have not been paid to him.

The direction issued by the learned Writ Court was not by quantification of the amount or bifurcation of the amount in various heads. Direction was only to the extent that undisputed amount be paid and the Corporation having filed a show cause indicating payment of undisputed amount by a cheque, no further action is required to be taken in the matter.

In view of the above, this application is disposed of.

In case the petitioner has any grievance still subsisting, arising out of the subsequent action, he may challenge the same in accordance with law in an appropriate proceeding.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

K.C.Jha/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	7.12.2017
Transmission Date	

