

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.1015 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -28 Year- 2016 Thana -AANTI District- GAYA

=====

Rinku Pandey, son of Sakaldip Pandey @ Sakaldip Mishra, resident of
village Korap, P.S. Konch, District Gaya

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vinod Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Umeshnand Pandit, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 08-02-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection
with Anti P.S. Case No. 28 of 2016 registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 461 and 379 of the Indian Penal Code.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that
petitioner is gotia of the informant and the allegation made against
him is only on the basis of suspicion and nothing has come against
him. It has further been submitted that the petitioner is ready to
abide by any condition that may be imposed by this Court if
anticipatory bail is granted to him

Heard learned APP also.

Having heard both sides and considering the fact that
only suspicion has been raised against the petitioner, let the
petitioner, named above, in the event of his arrest or surrender in



the court below within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gaya, in connection with Anti P.S.Case No. 28 of, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

This is subject to the further conditions that one of the bailors should be a local person having sufficient immoveable properties within the jurisdiction of the court concerned and further the petitioner shall co-operate in the investigation and make himself available before the police/court, as the case may be, as and when required and in the event of failure on his part to appear before the court on two consecutive dates, without showing any genuine reason, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

spal/-

U			
---	--	--	--

